

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/642,872 08/18/2003 Shen Buswell 10015382-4 3676 12/14/2004 **EXAMINER** HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY ALANKO, ANITA KAREN **Intellectual Property Administration** P. O. Box 272400 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 1765

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	10/642,872	BUSWELL ET AL.	(
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anita K Alanko	1765	
	The MAILING DATE of this communica			
Period fo	or Reply			
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA maions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of the property period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC by statute, cause the application to become A	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communic	ation.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	n		
2a) <u></u>		☐ This action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for		tters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
	closed in accordance with the practice i			0 10
Disnositi	ion of Claims			
		•		
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the appl			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	vitndrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•		
	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers		,	
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1/d)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•
	•			
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)L	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc			
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			
	Copies of the certified copies of the		received in this National Stage	
	application from the International I			
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certified copies not	received.	
ttachment	· (s)			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
) 🔲 Notice	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
) 🔀 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/18/03,1/15/04</u> .	6)	<u> </u>	
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re		ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1	1204

Application/Control Number: 10/642,872

Art Unit: 1765

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 6-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Baughman et al (US 5,441,593).

Baughman discloses a method and product formed by the method comprising:

forming a slot 18 into a substrate 12, the slot extending along a long axis and being defined, at least in part, by a pair of sidewalls 18' which extend generally parallel to the long axis (Fig.5A); and,

forming at least one bowl-shape 18a into the substrate so that the long axis passes therethrough, the bowl shape being connected to the pair of sidewalls of the slot and defining, at least in part, a terminal region of the slot (Fig.5C).

As to claim 2, Baughman discloses forming the bowl shape into a first surface 12a of the substrate, wherein the width at the first surface is greater than a width between the sidewalls (Fig.5C).

As to claim 3, Baughman discloses to etch (col.6, lines 34-39).

As to claims 6-7, 9-13, Baughman discloses that the sidewalls are orthogonal to the first surface, and that the sidewalls blend into the surface (no sharp corners are present).

Art Unit: 1765

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 10-12, 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Soik et al (US 6,745,469 B1).

Soik discloses a method comprising:

forming a slot 308 into a substrate 302, the slot extending along a long axis and being defined, at least in part, by a pair of sidewalls 400 which extend generally parallel to the long axis (Fig.21; Fig.14, 22-16 also show sidewalls generally parallel to the long axis); and,

forming at least one bowl-shape 310 into the substrate so that the long axis passes therethrough, the bowl shape being connected to the pair of sidewalls of the slot and defining, at least in part, a terminal region of the slot (Fig.14, 21).

As to claim 2, Soik discloses forming the bowl shape into a first surface 304 of the substrate, wherein the width at the first surface is greater than a width between the sidewalls (Fig.21).

As to claims 3-4, 15, Soik discloses to use a drill bit (col.10, line 51), laser machining, etching or a method of mechanically removing (col.14, lines 38-42).

Figures 12-13, 15-20 disclose various blending or rounding methods for forming the slot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1765

Claims 1-8, 10-12, 14-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soik et al (US 6,745,469 B1).

The discussion of Soik from above is repeated here.

As to claims 5 and 8, Soik does not disclose a required order of the process steps. The performance of two steps simultaneously, which have previously been performed in sequence was held to have been obvious. *In re Tatincloux* 108 USPQ 125 (CCPA 1955). It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to conduct the steps as cited, since the same end product occurs, and conducting them concurrently saves time, and conducting them in a particular order allows for optimizing the processes for best results used to form each opening when the processes are different.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita K Alanko whose telephone number is 571-272-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri until 2:30 pm (Wed until 11:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton can be reached on 571-272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita K. Alanko

Anita K Alanko Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765